# COMBINATORICA Akadémiai Kiadó — Springer-Verlag

### SYMMETRIC DESIGNS AND GEOMETROIDS

#### T. P. MCDONOUGH and V. C. MAVRON

Received July 30, 1986

A  $\lambda$ -set S in a symmetric  $2-(v,k,\lambda)$  design  $\Pi$  is a subset which every block meets in 0, 1 or  $\lambda$  points such that for any point of S there is a unique block meeting S at that point only. Ovoids in three-dimensional projective spaces are examples of  $\lambda$ -se.s. It is shown that if  $\Pi$  has a  $\lambda$ -set then  $\Pi$  is a geometroid with  $v=\lambda u^2+u+1$  and  $k=\lambda u+1$ , where  $u \ge \lambda-1$ . The cases when u is  $\lambda-1$ ,  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda+1$  are investigated and some open problems discussed.

#### 1. Introduction

The motivation for this work came from an attempt to classify families of symmetric 2-designs. Many such families have been found in recent years by a variety of methods. The more general aim of this paper was to try a classification of some families by looking at those containing certain subsystems, called here  $\lambda$ -sets. It turns out that the families containing  $\lambda$ -sets are geometroids.

In the case of the design of the points and hyperplanes of a finite threedimensional projective space, a  $\lambda$ -set is an ovoid. More generally,  $\lambda$ -sets are special cases of what Sane et al. [8] call a  $(\lambda, s)$ -arc in a symmetric 2-design and what Calderbank and Kantor [2] call projective  $(n, k, h_1, h_2)$  sets in projective spaces.

After looking at general symmetric 2-designs which have  $\lambda$ -sets we consider in turn three families of geometroids and discuss the existence of designs having  $\lambda$ -sets in each family, and some open problems which arise from this.

For the basic design and geometric definitions and results used in this paper see Hughes and Piper [5] or Dembowski [3].

#### 2. Parameters

Throughout this section  $\Pi$  denotes a symmetric  $2-(v, k, \lambda)$  design which has a subset S of s points such that:

(i)  $\lambda \geq 2$ ,  $s \geq 1$ ;

(ii)  $|S \cap B| \in \{0, 1, \lambda\}$  for any block B;

(iii) if  $p \in S$ , there is a unique block B such that  $B \cap S = \{p\}$ .

Such a subset S of the design  $\Pi$  is called a  $\lambda$ -set.

Note that the case  $\lambda = 1$  has been excluded as it will not be of interest in the discussion which follows, for reasons which will become apparent.

Relative to the  $\lambda$ -set S, a block B will be called an *internal block*, tangent block or secant block if, respectively, it meets S in 0, 1 or  $\lambda$  points. It is clear from the definition that S has exactly s tangents.

Let the number of internal and secant blocks of S be  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  respectively. Then clearly  $\alpha + \beta = v - s$ . It is also clear that points of S together with the secant blocks form a  $2 - (s, \lambda, \lambda)$  design with  $\beta$  blocks and "r" = k - 1.

Denote this  $2-(s, \lambda, \lambda)$  design by  $\Pi_S$ .

The basic design parameter equations easily give  $\lambda \beta = s(k-1)$  and  $(k-1)(\lambda-1) = \lambda(s-1)$ . Then using  $\alpha + \beta = v - s$  and simplifying we find that:

(2.1) 
$$s = 1 + (\lambda - 1)(k - 1)/\lambda = (\lambda k + 1 - k)/\lambda$$
$$\alpha = (k - 1)(k + \lambda - \lambda^2 - 1)/\lambda^2$$
$$\beta = (k - 1)(\lambda k + 1 - k)/\lambda^2.$$

It therefore follows that  $\lambda$  divides k-1 and hence that  $\Pi$  is a geometroid  $G_u(\lambda)$  in the sense of Mullin [6], where  $u=(k-1)/\lambda$ .

It is also worth observing here that, in the terminology of Sane et al [8], S is a  $(\lambda, s)$ -arc; also that an arc of Assmus and van Lint [1] is a  $\lambda$ -set if and only if  $\lambda = 2$ .

(2.2) Lemma. Let p be a point of  $\Pi$  not in S and let  $\alpha'$ ,  $\beta'$ ,  $\gamma'$  be, respectively, the number of internal, secant and tangent blocks on p. Then  $\gamma'$  is either 0 or  $\lambda$ .

If 
$$\gamma'=0$$
, then  $\alpha'=(k-1)/\lambda$  and  $\beta'=s=(\lambda k+1-k)/\lambda$ .  
If  $\gamma'=\lambda$ , then  $\alpha'=(k+\lambda-\lambda^2-1)/\lambda$  and  $\beta'=s-1=(\lambda-1)(k-1)/\lambda$ .

**Proof.** Clearly  $\alpha' + \beta' + \gamma' = k$ . Counting ordered pairs (q, B), where  $p, q \in B, q \in S$ , gives easily  $\lambda \beta' + \gamma' = \lambda s = \lambda k + 1 - k$ . Now since  $\lambda$  divides k - 1, then  $\lambda$  divides  $\gamma'$ . Hence either  $\gamma' = 0$  or else  $\gamma' \ge \lambda$ .

Letting p vary over all points not in S, we get the following sums:

$$\sum \gamma' = s(k-1)$$

and

$$\sum \gamma'(\gamma'-1) = s(s-1)\lambda$$

from which, using (2.1), it is easily deduced that  $\sum \gamma'(\gamma'-\lambda)=0$ . Hence since for each p either  $\gamma'=0$  or  $\gamma' \ge \lambda$ , it follows that if  $\gamma' \ne 0$  then necessarily  $\gamma'=\lambda$ .

Finally, using the above equations and (2.1) the proof is readily completed.

We have shown in the above lemma that any point not on the  $\lambda$ -set S is on either 0 or  $\lambda$  tangent blocks.

**Definition.** A point p of  $\Pi$  is called an *internal point* or *secant point*, relative to S, according as the number of tangent blocks on p is 0 or  $\lambda$ .

The following lemma follows easily using the previous lemma.

(2.3) Lemma. In the dual symmetric design of  $\Pi$ , the tangent blocks of S form a  $\lambda$ -set whose tangent blocks are the points of S and whose internal (secant) blocks are the internal (secant) points of S.

Next we investigate the type of parameters, in certain cases, that  $\Pi$  may have and discuss the existence of such a design having a  $\lambda$ -set.

Let  $u=(k-1)/\lambda$ . Then  $\Pi$  is a symmetric  $2-(\lambda u^2+u+1, \lambda u+1, \lambda)$  design and  $s=\lambda u-u+1$ ,  $\alpha=u(u+1-\lambda)$ ,  $\beta=u(\lambda u-u+1)=us$ . As mentioned earlier,  $\Pi$ has the parameters of a geometroid  $G_n(\lambda)$ .

Rajkundlia [7, p. 82] constructs examples of  $G_{\mu}(\lambda)$  when both u and  $\lambda u+1$ 

are prime powers. See also Shrikhande and Singhi [9] for the case  $\lambda = u$ .

Our interest here is not just with parameters but with the existence of geometroids with  $\lambda$ -sets. Since  $\alpha \ge 0$  it follows that  $\lambda - 1 \le u$ . We shall proceed to examine, in turn, the parameter types when u is  $\lambda - 1$ ,  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda + 1$ .

Type I:  $u=\lambda-1$ .

Here  $\Pi$  is a  $2-(\lambda^3-2\lambda^2+2\lambda,\lambda^2-\lambda+1,\lambda)$  design with  $s=\lambda^2-2\lambda+2, \alpha=0$ 

 $\beta = (\lambda - 1)(\lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 2)$ . Thus every block meets S in either 1 or  $\lambda$  points.

In this case, the design  $\Pi_S$  is a  $2-(\lambda^2-2\lambda+2,\lambda,\lambda)$  design. It is interesting to note that any inversive plane of order  $\lambda-1$  will have these parameters as a 2-design. Inversive planes of order n are known to exist when n is a prime power (see, for example, [3] or [5]).

Non-degenerate quadrics of index one in the projective geometry PG(3, q)are examples of  $\lambda$ -sets. A hyperplane meeting such a quadric in one point is the tangent hyperplane at that point. The points and hyperplanes of PG(3,q) form a symmetric  $2-(q^3+q^2+q+1,q^2+q+1,q+1)$  design which is of Type I with  $\lambda = q + 1$ . Denote the design by P(3, q).

By a theorem of Calderbank and Kantor [2, Theorem 12.6], a  $\lambda$ -set in P(3, q)must be an ovoid and, if q is odd, the ovoid is a quadric in PG(3, q). (See Dembowski [3] for information on ovoids.) Ebert [4, Theorem 3] has proved that the points of

P(3,q), q>2, admit a partition by ovoids.

Observe that the designs  $\Pi_S$  in the P(3, q) example are all inversive planes and hence 3-designs. We do not know of any other Type I designs. An interesting question is whether a Type I design which admits a partition by  $\lambda$ -sets is necessarily isomorphic to some P(3,q) or, if not, then need the designs  $\Pi_S$  be 3-designs?

Type II:  $u=\lambda$ .

II is now a symmetric  $2-(\lambda^3+\lambda+1,\lambda^2+1,\lambda)$  design with  $s=\lambda^2-\lambda+1$ ,  $\alpha = \lambda$ ,  $\beta = \lambda(\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1)$  and  $\Pi_S$  is a  $2 - (\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1, \lambda, \lambda)$  design. Note that  $\Pi_S$  has the parameters of the sum of  $\lambda$  projective planes of order  $\lambda-1$ .

Let p be any point of  $\Pi$  not in S. Then, with the notation of (2.2), we have that either: (i)  $\alpha' = \lambda$ ,  $\beta' = \lambda^2 - \lambda + 1$ ,  $\gamma' = 0$  or (ii)  $\alpha' = 1$ ,  $\beta' = \lambda^2 - \lambda$ ,  $\gamma' = \lambda$ . In (i), p is an internal point and in (ii) it is a secant point.

From the dual of (2.2) it follows that any internal block is on exactly  $\lambda$  internal points and therefore contains all the internal points.

Designs with Type II parameters are constructed by Shrikhande and Singhi [9] and also by Rajkundlia [7, p. 84] when both  $\lambda-1$  and  $\lambda^2-\lambda+1$  are prime powers. It is not difficult to see that the designs in [7] have  $\lambda$ -sets S for which  $\Pi_S$  is a sum of projective planes of order  $\lambda-1$ .

Here we shall describe a construction, under a hypothesis similar to those above, by first constructing an interesting self-dual 1-design with special block intersection properties, whose automorphism group is transitive. This design is then extended to a symmetric 2-design. Our construction of the symmetric 2-designs will show how they are composed from other designs and that they are self-dual, The method requires  $\lambda-1$  to be the order of a projective plane and  $\lambda^2-\lambda+1$  must be a prime power. Whether or not our construction gives designs isomorphic to those of [7] or [9] is unclear. Moreover the question of self-duality is not pursued in these papers.

First we describe the construction of the 1-design in a more general form than will be required.

- (2.4) Theorem. Let q be a prime power and t, n positive integers such that q-1=tn. Then there exist a symmetric 1-(qt,q-1,q-1) design  $\Gamma$  with the following properties.
  - (i)  $\Gamma$  is self-dual and its automorphism group is transitive.
  - (ii) Any two distinct blocks meet in either 0, n-1 or n points.
- (iii) The blocks of  $\Gamma$  may be partitioned into q subsets each consisting of t disjoint blocks.
- (iv) There is a partition of the blocks of  $\Gamma$  into t subsets of size q such that any pair of blocks from the same subset meet in n-1 points.

**Proof.** Let H be the multiplicative group and A the additive group of GF(q). Let K be the unique subgroup of H of order n and let L be the quotient group H/K of order t. Choose any  $a \in H$ .

Then the points of  $\Gamma$  are the pairs (x, y), where  $x \in A$ ,  $y \in L$ . Given  $v \in A$ ,  $w \in L$ , a block, denoted by [v, w] is defined to be the following point subset of  $\Gamma$ :

$$\{(x, y)|a(x-v)\in y^{-1}w\}.$$

The mapping  $(x, y) \rightarrow [-x, y^{-1}]$  is easily seen to induce an isomorphism from  $\Gamma$  onto its dual. Hence  $\Gamma$  is self-dual.

If  $e \in A$  and  $f \in L$ , it is readily verified that the mapping  $(x, y) \rightarrow (x+e, fy)$  induces an automorphism of  $\Gamma$  and that these automorphisms form a group which is transitive on points (and on blocks). This proves (i).

Consider a block [v, w]. A point  $(x, y) \in [v, w]$  if and only if  $a(x-v) \in y^{-1}w$ . The latter condition implies  $x \neq v$ . Choose any  $x \in A$ ,  $x \neq v$ . Then there is a unique  $y \in L$  such that  $a(x-v) \in y^{-1}w$ . So [v, w] is on exactly |A|-1=q-1 points. Dually every point is on q-1 blocks. Thus  $\Gamma$  is a design with the required parameters.

Let  $v \in A$  and consider the t blocks [v, w],  $w \in L$ . If  $w_1, w_2 \in L$  and (x, y) is in both  $[v, w_1]$  and  $[v, w_2]$ , then a(x-v) is in both the cosets  $y^{-1}w_1$ ,  $y^{-1}w_2$ ; so these cosets are equal and hence  $w_1 = w_2$ . This proves (iii).

Now consider blocks [v, w], [v', w'] where  $v \neq v'$ . We show that they meet in n-1 points if w=w' and in n points otherwise. Using the properties of the above automorphism, it is clear that we may assume [v', w'] = [0, 1], where  $v \neq 0$  (since  $v \neq v'$ ).

A point (x, y) is in both [v, w] and [0, 1] if and only if a(x-v) is in  $y^{-1}w$  and ax is in  $y^{-1}$ ; that is, ax is in  $y^{-1}$  (implying  $x\neq 0$ ) and  $1-x^{-1}v$  is in w. Now if  $h\in w$ , then  $1-x^{-1}v=h$ , where  $x\neq 0$ , if and only if  $h\neq 1$  and  $x=v(1-h)^{-1}$ .

Thus the number of points in which the given blocks meet is the number of elements  $\neq 1$  in the coset w, which is |K|-1=n-1 if  $1 \in w$  (that is, w=1) and is n otherwise.

Thus, given  $w \in L$ , the q blocks [v, w],  $v \in A$ , form a subset with the properties given in (iv). This proves (ii) and (iv).

Now we show how a symmetric 2-design may be constructed using (2.4).

(2.5) Theorem. If  $\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1$  is a prime power and there exists a projective plane of order  $\lambda-1$ , then there exists a symmetric  $2-(\lambda^3+\lambda+1,\lambda^2+1,\lambda)$  design which is self-dual and has a  $\lambda$ -set.

**Proof.** Using (2.4) and its notation construct a  $1-(\lambda^3-\lambda^2+\lambda,\lambda^2-\lambda,\lambda^2-\lambda)$  design  $\Gamma$  taking  $q = \lambda^2 - \lambda + 1 = |A|$ ,  $t = \lambda = |L|$  and  $n = \lambda - 1$ .

We extend  $\Gamma$  to an incidence structure  $\Pi$  by adjoining new points and blocks and extending blocks of  $\Gamma$ .

Let  $\sum$  be a projective plane of order  $\lambda-1$ . Let the point set and line set of  $\Sigma$  be, respectively,  $\{p_x|x\in A\}$  and  $\{B_x|x\in A\}$ . The points of  $\Pi$  are those of  $\Gamma$  and the new points labelled (z), where  $z\in A\cup L$ . The blocks of  $\Pi$  are those of  $\Gamma$  and the new blocks labelled [z],  $z \in A \cup L$ . Incidences in  $\Pi$  are defined as follows:

- (i)  $(x, y) \in [v, w]$  if  $a(x-v) \in y^{-1}w$ . That is, incidences are inherited from  $\Gamma$ .  $(x, v \in A; y, w \in L.)$ 
  - (ii)  $(x, y) \in [v]$  if  $p_v \in B_x$  in  $\sum$ .  $(x, v \in A; y \in L)$  (iii)  $(x, y) \in [y]$ .  $(x \in A; y \in L)$

  - (iv)  $(x) \in [v, w]$  if  $p_x \in B_v$  in  $\sum (x, v \in A; w \in L)$
  - (v)  $(x) \in [x]$ .  $(x \in A \cup L)$
  - (vi)  $(y) \in [w]$ .  $(y, w \in L$ .)
  - (vii)  $(y) \in [x, y]$ .  $(x \in A; y \in L)$

Evidently  $\Pi$  has  $(\lambda^3 - \lambda^2 + \lambda) + (\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1) + \lambda = \lambda^2 + \lambda + 1$  points and the same number of blocks. Next we show that every block is on exactly  $\lambda^2 + 1$  points.

Let  $v \in A$ ,  $w \in L$ . The block [v, w] is on  $\lambda^2 - \lambda$  points of  $\Gamma$  and contains (w)and also (x), where x is any of the elements  $x \in A$  such that  $p_x$  is on  $B_v$  in  $\sum$ ; a total of  $\lambda^2 + 1$  points.

The block [v],  $v \in A$ , is on the  $\lambda |L| = \lambda^2$  points (x, y), where  $y \in L$  and x is such that  $p_v \in B_x$  in  $\Sigma$ ; and also on the point (v). Again a total of  $\lambda^2 + 1$  points.

Next we show that any two distinct blocks meet in  $\lambda$  points. Consider two distinct blocks [v, w], [v', w'].

Case 1: (v=v'). The two blocks meet in the  $\lambda$  points (x), where  $p_x \in B_v$  in  $\sum$ .

Case 2:  $(v \neq v', w = w')$ . The given blocks meet now in the following points: (x),  $x \in A$ , where  $p_x$  is the intersection of the lines  $B_v$  and  $B_v$ ; the point (w); the n-1= $=\lambda-2$  points in which they meet in  $\Gamma$  (see proof of (2.4)). They meet therefore in  $\lambda$  points.

Case 3:  $(v \neq v', w \neq w')$ . The points in which the two blocks meet are now: the  $n=\lambda-1$  points in which they meet in  $\Gamma$ ; the block (x), where  $p_x$  is the intersection of the lines  $B_v$ ,  $B_{v'}$  in  $\Sigma$ . This again makes a total of  $\lambda$  points.

Next consider the blocks [v, w], [z], where  $z \in A$ . A point  $(x, y) \in [z]$  if an only if  $p_x \in B_x$  in  $\sum$ . The point  $(x, y) \in [v, w]$  if and only if  $a(x-v) = y^{-1}w$ . Now given  $x \in A$ ,  $x \neq v$ , the latter equation may be solved uniquely for y.

Thus, if  $p_z \notin B_v$  in  $\Sigma$ , then the  $\lambda$  elements x with  $p_z \in B_x$  determine  $\lambda$  points  $(x,y)\in[v,w]\cap[z]$ ; but if  $p_z\in B_v$ , then the  $\lambda-1$  elements x  $(\neq v)$  with  $p_z\in B_x$ determine  $\lambda-1$  such points and in this case point (z) is also in the intersection. Thus the two blocks meet in  $\lambda$  points.

The remainder of the proof that any two blocks meet in  $\lambda$  points is straightforward and is omitted.

It follows that  $\Pi$  is a symmetric design with the required parameters. It is easily checked that the subset  $S = \{(x) | x \in A\}$  is a  $\lambda$ -set in  $\Pi$ .

It remains to prove that  $\Pi$  is self-dual. We shall exhibit the isomorphism from  $\Pi$  onto its dual design but omit the verification which is straightforward:

$$(x, y) \rightarrow [x, -y^{-1}], \quad (x) \rightarrow [x], \quad (y) \rightarrow [y^{-1}],$$
  
 $[v, w] \rightarrow (v, w^{-1}), \quad [x] \rightarrow (x), \quad [y] \rightarrow (-v^{-1}).$ 

**Remark.** It is easy to see that  $\Pi_S$  in (2.5) is just the sum of  $\lambda$  copies of  $\Sigma$ . We know of no examples of a Type II design  $\Pi$  with a  $\lambda$ -set S for which  $\Pi_S$  is not a sum of projective planes.

*Type* III:  $u=\lambda+1$ .

Here  $\Pi$  is a symmetric  $2-(\lambda^3+2\lambda^2+2\lambda+2, \lambda^2+\lambda+1, \lambda)$  design with  $s=\lambda^2$ ,  $\alpha=2(\lambda+1)$ ,  $\beta=\lambda^2(\lambda+1)$  and  $\Pi_S$  is a  $2-(\lambda^2, \lambda, \lambda)$  design. Note that  $\Pi_S$  has the parameters of a sum of  $\lambda$  affine planes of order  $\lambda$ .

Applying the Bruck—Ryser—Chowla theorem (see, for example, [3] or [5]) it follows that if  $\lambda$  is even, then  $k-\lambda=\lambda^2+1$  must be a square, which is impossible.

Hence  $\lambda$  must be odd. If  $\lambda=1$ , we have the projective plane of order 2 as a unique example of  $\Pi$ . In this case any set consisting of just one point is a  $\lambda$ -set.

More generally, for  $\lambda$  odd, the Bruck—Ryser—Chowla theorem asserts that if  $\Pi$  exists then the following equation has a non-trivial solution in integers:

(2.6) 
$$x^2 = (\lambda^2 + 1)y^2 + \lambda(-1)^{\mu}z^2$$

where  $\mu = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + 1)$ .

We know of no design with Type III parameters other than the projective plane of order 2. In such designs, we have observed that  $\lambda$  must be odd. By considering equation (2.6) modulo 8, we see that  $\lambda \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$  and hence infinitely many odd values of  $\lambda$  are excluded. However, if  $\lambda$  is a perfect square, say  $\lambda = m^2$ , then x=1, y=1, z=m is a non-trivial solution of (2.6) and so infinitely many values of  $\lambda$  are not excluded by (2.6). A solution of (2.6) with smallest  $\lambda \neq 1$  is x=15, y=1, z=5 and  $\lambda=7$ .

#### References

- [1] E. F. Assmus and J. H. van Lint, Ovals in projective designs, J. Comb. Theory (A) 27, 307—324 (1979).
- [2] R. CALDERBANK and W. M. KANTOR, The geometry of two-weight codes, Bull. London Math. Soc. 18, 97—122 (1986).
- [3] P. Dembrowski, Finite Geometries, New York: Springer (1968).
- [4] G. L. EBERT, Partitioning projective geometries into caps, Can. J. Math. 37, 6, 1163—1175 (1985).
- [5] D. R. HUGHES, and F. C. PIPER: Design Theory. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1985).
- [6] R. C. Mullin, Resolvable designs and geometroids, Utilitas Mathematica 5, 137-149 (1974).

- [7] D. P. RAJKUNDLIA, Some techniques for constructing infinite families of BIBDs. Discrete Mathematics 44, 61—96 (1983).
- [8] S. S. Sane, S. S. Shrikhande and N. M. Singhi, Maximal arcs in designs, Graphs and Combinatorics 1, 97—106 (1985).
- [9] S. S. SHRIKHANDE and N. M. SINGHI, Construction of geometroids, *Utilitas Mathematica* 8, 187—192 (1975).

## T. P. McDonough and V. C. Mavron

Department of Mathematics The University College of Wales Aberystwyth SY23 3BZ U.K.